

# Residue Calculus

Josef Rieger Armin Krupp

28th June 2011

## 1 Classification of isolated singularities

**Definition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $a \in U$  and  $f \in H(U \setminus \{a\})$ . We say  $f$  has an *isolated singularity* at  $a$ , which can be classified further:

1.  $f$  has a *removable singularity* at  $a$ , if there exists a holomorphic function  $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which agrees with  $f$  on  $U \setminus \{a\}$ .
2.  $f$  has a *pole* at  $a$ , if  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ .
3.  $f$  has an *essential singularity* at  $a$ , if  $f$  has neither a *removable singularity* nor a *pole* at  $a$ .

### Examples of singularities:

1. The function  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $f(z) = \frac{\sin(z)}{z}$  has a *removable singularity* in 0, because we can set  $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $g(z) = f(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $g(0) = 1$ .
2. The function  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\frac{d}{c}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  and  $c \neq 0$  has a *pole* in  $-\frac{d}{c}$ , because  $\lim_{z \rightarrow -\frac{d}{c}} |f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ .
3. The function  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $f(z) = e^{\frac{1}{z}}$  has an *essential singularity* in 0, because  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} |f(z)| \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^-} |f(z)| \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem (Riemann's Theorem on Removable Singularities).** Let  $f \in H(U \setminus \{z_0\})$ . If  $f(z)$  is bounded in a punctured neighborhood of  $z_0$ , then  $f$  has a removable singularity at  $z_0$ .

**Theorem (Casorati-Weierstrass).** Let  $z_0 \in U$  be an *essential singularity* of  $f \in H(U \setminus \{z_0\})$ , then  $\forall w \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists a sequence  $z_n \rightarrow z_0$  with  $f(z_n) \rightarrow w$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma (Characterisation of a pole).** Let  $U$  be an open environment of  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \in H(U \setminus \{a\})$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $a$  is a pole of  $f$
- (ii) There exists a unique number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a holomorphic function  $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g(a) \neq 0$  satisfying

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z-a)^n}, \forall z \in U \setminus \{a\}$$

## 2 Laurent Series

A series of the form  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k(z-z_0)^k$ ,  $a_k \in \mathbb{C} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $z, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is called *Laurent Series*.

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k(z-z_0)^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{-k}(z-z_0)^{-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(z-z_0)^k \quad (*)$$

The first term is called *main-part* of a Laurent Series and the second one is called *secondary-part*. A Laurent Series is called *convergent (absolute convergent, uniformly convergent)*, if the main- and secondary-part are *convergent (absolute convergent, uniformly convergent)*.

### Remark

- $\frac{1}{r} \in [0, \infty]$  is the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{-k}(\beta)^k$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$
- $R \in [0, \infty]$  is the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(\beta)^k$

$\Rightarrow$  the Laurent Series (\*) is convergent on  $K_{r,R}(z_0) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} | r < |z-z_0| < R\}$

**Lemma.** If the Laurent Series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k(z-z_0)^k$$

with  $a_k \in \mathbb{C} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $z, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is convergent on  $K_{r,R}(z_0) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} | r < |z-z_0| < R\}$ , then we can write for every  $\gamma \in (r, R)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^{n+1}} dz$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality let  $z_0 = 0$ .

$$\int_{|z|=\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \int_{|z|=\gamma} z^{k-n-1} dz = 2\pi i \cdot a_n$$

The first equation results from the *uniform convergence* of a series inside of the *convergence radius* and therefore we can switch the sum and integral. The second equation follows from the integration of  $z^{k-n-1}$  on a circle. (see last week)

**Lemma (Laurent Expansion).** Let  $f : K_{r,R}(z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic. Then we can write

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$

$$\text{with } a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^{k+1}} dz \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality let  $z_0 = 0$ . Using Cauchy's integral formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi-z|=\varepsilon} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi-z} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=R-\delta} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi-z} d\xi + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=r+\delta} \frac{f(\xi)}{z-\xi} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=R-\delta} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi} \cdot \frac{d\xi}{1-\frac{z}{\xi}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \frac{i}{z} \int_{|\xi|=r+\delta} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi} \cdot \frac{d\xi}{1-\frac{\xi}{z}}; \delta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Geometrical Series:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-\frac{z}{\xi}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{\xi}\right)^k \quad |z| < R \\ \frac{1}{1-\frac{\xi}{z}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\xi}{z}\right)^k \quad |z| > r \end{aligned}$$

Using the Geometrical Series and integrating component-by-component leads to the proposition.

**Examples.**  $f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-1)(z-2)}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 2\}$

- Laurent-Expansion in  $K_{0,1}(0)$

With expansion into partial fractions:  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{-1}{2(1-\frac{z}{2})} + \frac{1}{(1-z)} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-2^{-k-1})z^k, \quad \forall z \in K_{0,1}(0) \end{aligned}$$

- Laurent-Expansion in  $K_{1,2}(0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(z) &= \frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1} = \frac{-1}{2(1-\frac{z}{2})} - \frac{1}{z(1-\frac{1}{z})} = \\
&= \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^k - \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^k = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{-(k+1)} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(k+1)} z^k = \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^{-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(k+1)} z^k = \\
&= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k z^k
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } a_k = \begin{cases} -1 & k < 0 \\ -2^{-(k+1)} & k \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

- Laurent-Expansion in  $K_{2,\infty}(0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > 2\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f(z) &= \frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1} = \frac{1}{z(1-\frac{2}{z})} - \frac{1}{z(1-\frac{1}{z})} = \\
&= \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{z}\right)^k - \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k z^{-(k+1)} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{-(k+1)} = \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k-1} z^{-k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^{-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^{k-1} - 1) z^{-k} \quad (|z| > 2)
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma (Characterization of Singularities with Laurent Series).** Let  $f \in H(U \setminus \{a\})$ . Then there exists a  $R > 0$ , with  $K_{0,R}(a) \subset U \setminus \{a\}$  and  $f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$  is convergent on  $K_{0,R}(a)$ . Furthermore:

1. The singularity  $a$  is removable, if and only if  $a_k = 0$  for all  $k \leq -1$ . (The main part of the Laurent Series is zero).
2. The singularity  $a$  is a pole of the order  $n$ , iff  $a_{-n} \neq 0$  and  $a_{-k} = 0$  for all  $k > n$ .
3. The singularity  $a$  is essential, iff  $a_{-k} \neq 0$  for infinity in numbers  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 3 Residue Calculus

**Definition (Residue).** Let  $f : K_{0,r}(z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $r > 0$   $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic. For  $\gamma \in (0, r)$  we define

$$Res_{z_0}(f) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=\gamma} f(z) dz = a_{-1}$$

the *residue* of  $f$  in  $z_0$ .

**Properties of the Residue.** Let the functions  $h, f : K_{0,r}(z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $r > 0, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic. Then

- $Res_{z_0}(f + h) = Res_{z_0}(f) + Res_{z_0}(h)$
- $Res_{z_0}(\lambda f) = \lambda Res_{z_0}(f)$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

**Calculation Rules:**

1. If  $z_0$  is a pole of order  $n = 1$  of  $f$ , we can write:  $Res_{z_0}(f) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)f(z)$ .

**Proof.** We can write  $f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z-z_0}$  with  $g(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $g$  holomorphic (Shown in 1.5). Using Taylor expansion of  $g$  in  $z_0$ , it follows that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z-z_0} (g(z_0) + (z-z_0)g'(z_0) + \dots) = \frac{g(z_0)}{z-z_0} + g'(z_0) + (z-z_0)[\dots]$$

thus  $Res_{z_0}(f) = g(z_0)$ . But  $g(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)f(z)$ .

2. If  $f : U \setminus \{z_0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has a pole in  $z_0$  of the order  $m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), so we can write  $f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z-z_0)^m}$ ,  $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic. Conclusively

$$Res_{z_0}(f) = \frac{g^{(m-1)}(z_0)}{(m-1)!}$$

**Proof.**

We can write  $g(z) = a_0 + a_1(z-z_0) + \dots + a_m(z-z_0)^m + \dots$ , as a power series of  $g$  in  $z_0$ , because every holomorphic function can be written as power series in  $K_{r,R}(z_0)$ . Therefore we can write  $f(z) = \frac{a_0}{(z-z_0)^m} + \dots + \frac{a_{m-1}}{z-z_0} + a_m + (z-z_0)[\dots]$  hence

$$Res_{z_0}(f) = a_{m-1} = \frac{g^{(m-1)}(z_0)}{(m-1)!}.$$

**Theorem (Residue Calculus).** Let  $U$  open in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $S := \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$  a set of pairwise disjoint points  $z_j \in U$ . Let  $f \in H(U \setminus S)$  and  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U \setminus S$  be closed, piecewise differentiable curve without overlaps, nullhomolog (it means

that inner part of the curve is completely in  $U$  in  $U$  and covered  $S$  with positive orientation (against the clockwise direction). Then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}_{z_j}(f)$$

**Proof.** Let  $f_i$  be the main-part of the Laurent-Expansion from  $f$  of the point  $z_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). We know that  $f_i$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_i\}$ . Let  $f_i := \frac{a_i}{z-z_i} + f_i^*$ ,  $a_i = \text{Res}_{z_i}(f)$ ;  $f_i^*$ , the Laurent-Series in which the coefficient  $a_{-1}$  disappears.  $\implies f_i^*$  has an antiderivative in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_i\}$ . Therefore we can write  $\int_{\gamma} f_i^*(z)dz = 0$  and D

$$\int_{\gamma} f_i dz = a_i \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z-z_i} dz = 2\pi i \cdot a_i.$$

- Due to our construction,  $f - (f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n)$  is holomorphic in  $U$  and we have proposed that  $\gamma$  is nullhomolog, therefore we can use Cauchy's integral formula:

$$\int_{\gamma} [f - (f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n)] dz = 0,$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f dz &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f_i(z) dz = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \text{Res}_{z_i}(f) \end{aligned}$$